

2024 Voters Guide: General Election – Please forward

Dick Pence – Billings, MT Cell 406-672-9207 rapence45@gmail.com

Why Worldview and Politics Are Related:

(This post dedicated to the Memorie of my Friend Grover Peterson who would agree)

Some have suggested that politics and worldview issues are often in different biospheres. Most pastors have adopted this thinking arguing that politics, or cultural issues if you will, are not in their purview. As *Megan Basham's* bombshell new book – which I highly recommend – [Shepherds for Sale: How Evangelical Leaders Traded the Truth for a Leftist Agenda](#) says these Pastors are “whispering about cultural issues” if they are saying anything at all. This has been the posture of most evangelical churches – **worse, many are turning Progressive** on everything from Climate change to Marxism. I have no confidence that this will change.

(Please see note on CI-128 under Initiatives)

I am not whispering about abortion, same-sex marriage, transgenderism, Marxism etc. As long as I have breath, I will shout from the rooftops that these things are evil and teaching on them IS in the purview of the churches. Jesus said **“For this reason I was born, and for this reason I came into the world- to testify to the TRUTH”** (John18:37) That testimony has been missing for far too long. These **ethical-Moral issues have become political because of this silence or whispering if you will.** I consider the attitude of the so-called church not only misguided, but irresponsible. Rightly did the respected Dutch theologian Abraham Kuper (1837 to 1920) prophesy when he said:

“There is not one square inch in the whole domain of our human existence over which Christ, who is Sovereigns over all, does not cry, Mine!”

Table of Contents:

- Why Worldview and Politics are Joined at the Hip – p. 1
- Focus on the General Election – p. 1-2
- The Presidential Election – will America become Marxist. Who is the Real Kamala Harris and Who is Your Neighbor? – p. 2 – 6
- The Role of Radical Feminism – Montana and America – p. 6-7

- The Montana Supreme Court– Most Important Montana Race – p. 7-10
- The **Ballot Initiatives** – from Evil to Deceptive to possible. p.10-12

Focus in this General Election:

First, a word of concern about the recent Primaries. As I looked at the Primary numbers across races in Montana – **the numbers told a resounding, disappointing story. Uninformed voters who voted for incumbents, big names, etc.** The imbalance in the numbers simply did not reflect the reality of the differences between the two candidates – in race after race. It was not about who won or lost, it was about the lopsided numbers in so many races. Interestingly, several better researchers than myself – came to the same conclusion. If you're reading this guide your probably an exception, but **we are losing America because of a VERY uninformed, lazy, emotion driven electorate!**

The focus will be on the Montana Supreme Court, the Presidency, and ballot initiatives. This edition of the Voter's Guide relates to the November General election. I will not reference races of Republicans vs. Democrats – or Libertarians or Green Party. If you wish to look back at my Voters Guide for the Primary you can find it [Here](#). I have recently written more at [*OCCUPIED: How a Republican Cabal and a Compromised Church Has Stalemated Montana*](#). In simple terms, it is an appeal for integrity to return to both institutions.

I will only support Republicans (or perhaps a Constitution party candidate if I see one) so I am assuming you will have clear choices on your ballots. The [*Democratic party has become radicalized, anti-American, pro-Marxist*](#) and anti-Christian. Their candidates are either complicit or so naive that they should not be supported.

This does not assume you must vote for all Republican. You may choose to leave some of the boxes blank and not vote for certain candidates based on your own principles. The older I get – and the more I observe of government – **the less I subscribe to the guilt-mantra “hold your nose and vote for the candidate you hate the least.”** Much of the time, this has been orchestrated by elements of the [*Establishment or Uni-Party*](#). **That is absolutely the case in several races in this election.** For me, other factors enter into those decisions on a case-by-case basis.

President of the United States

Dangerous Choices & Challenging Personal Decisions about Messaging:

Many of the Founders told us – in varying language:

“When a great democracy is destroyed, it will not be from enemies without, but rather because of enemies from within.”

And here we are!

My respected friend Trevor Loudon at [KEYWIKI Research](#) has camped on this issue for years and he is RIGHT ON!

Kamala Harris - the only difference between her and Vladimir Putin or Xi Jinping of China is that they are not stupid, she is! Her worldview and ideology are the same. **Kamala Harris has taken up arms against the United States** as surely as if she had a gun to our head! If you think that is hyperbole, it's time you get in the game for your country, your kids, and for your faith. She is a radical Marxist Communist as much as these two world leaders.

- Four years ago, Trevor Loudon (the leading authority on Communism on the planet) wrote [Why is No One \(Except Trump\) Calling Out Kamala Harris's Communist ties?](#) If you intend to vote in this election, you need to read this short article!
- Major General USMC (Ret.) Geoffrey Higginbotham says this same thing in a slightly different manner in his article [The History of Kamala Harris – How Bad Can it Get?](#) (Interestingly, even with Duck-Duck-GO, you may not find these two articles – it will immediately jump to FACT CHECK?)

As bad as Biden was, Harris is MUCH different. ***This Feminist is the EVILEST candidate in my life time.*** While Kamala is not the first Marxist candidate – **Bidens, Clintons, and Obamas are all Marxist**, Harris is openly Communist – no longer feeling like she needs to hide it. Biden was a puppet, Obama may not be the key driver of this far LEFT movement, but he has found the **doofus** [stupid, incompetent, foolish person] who is willing to bring Marxism forward in the Democrat Party. Her VP choice, Tim Walz, Governor of Minnesota cheered at the Black Lives Matter crowd, destroyed Minneapolis, and she did all she could to get these criminals out of jail. They are a perfect match.

I think it is impossible to adequately define Kamala Harris – this woman is absolutely [wicked](#), [iniquitous](#) - characterized by injustice in a demonic way.

Maj. Gen. Geoffrey Higgenbotham's tells a story of her and her family's life-long dedication to Marxism, especially of the **Chinese Communist** variety. Kamala was radicalized as a child – she knows no other doctrines.

Trevor Loudon exposed her even more. Both writers describe her as LEFT of Bernie Sanders, and a rabid Socialist. Her parents were LEFTIST-Marxist. Like many good Progressive women, **she slept her way to the top**. After college, 30-year-old Harris became the mistress of 60-year-old Willie Brown, a Democratic Marxist. He helped her become the AG of California and later a U.S. Senator. Today, Willie Brown is widely regarded as one of the **Chinese Communist Party's best friends** in the San Francisco Bay Area.

You will learn lots about Obama here and, more important, where all the money came from for him and Kamala. Harris has long aggressively supported Marxist Black Lives Matter; **the Black Panther Party served as the model for creation of the Black Lives Matter**. Don't miss the fact that homosexual [Karine Jean-Pierre](#) was her chief of staff before becoming Bidon's press secretary. Pierre also worked for **Movon.org**. She is from the Haiti Communist party. Kamala's husband is waist-deep in Chinese Communism.

And, just so you know, **Harris is not African-American**. Her mom was from India and her father was from Jamaica – both were radicalized Marxists. Up until **yesterday**, the terms Black and African-American were used interchangeably. Not any more, Kamala defined Black to mean something different.

Interestingly, Higgenbotham makes the following observation about Kamal

“Office holders are not subject to the security clearance process. If the FBI did a Background Investigation on Kamala Harris, she never would have passed, because of her 40-year close ties with Marxists, Communists, Maoists, and Communist China.”

Trevor Loudon chimes in...

“If Harris was to apply for a mid-level job in the Department of Agriculture, she would almost certainly fail the security background check. She owes

*her entire career to sympathizers or active enablers of the **Chinese Communist Party.***”

Again, if you’re going to vote, you need to read these articles – there is much more!

But now comes the hard part that will separate the principled from the unprincipled – dare I say the godly from those who will *hide their lights under a bushel!* ([Matthew 5:14–15](#), [Mark 4:21–25](#) and [Luke 8:16–18](#)) We all have neighbors, relatives, and friends that are Democrats. **It’s time we stand for God! YOU, WE,** need to think prayerfully and carefully about how we are going to tell these people that if they vote for Harris, they - also– **have taken up arms against America!** We need to risk those relationships to stand for TRUTH and GODLINESS! To do anything else is not Agapy love that **“seeks the welfare of the other even when it comes at our expense.”**

This guide is not just written only to Christians but is – hopefully – based on ethical considerations. **A warning shot** across the bow to those who think they can **flirt with this Marxism and call themselves Christians.** [You can be a Christian; you can be a Marxist but you can’t be both](#)

And what about Donald J. Trump? Let’s be clear eyed and honest...

It will be to our strategic advantage to be honest with those questioning the Trump campaign – he has also had issues but they are mild by comparison. I am troubled by the blind loyalty I see with the Trumpsters! This is not helpful; it plays to the LEFTs message when we show no objectivity.

This is vastly different than senate or other races. **The Presidencies executive power**, although often wrongly used by both parties, **is a fundamental consideration. Biden has fundamentally changed America with his executive orders and with the help of his Marxist bureaucrats’ actions without legislation.** As long as congress sheepishly refuses to hold the other two branches in check, this will continue to make the Presidency of critical importance.

It will help our message for Trump if we concede that:

- The Republican Platform was drastically changed in Detroit without delegates being allowed to vote. It is much **softer on abortion** – with the Trump campaigns approval.

- Recently, Trump said he would have to **change his stance on electric vehicles** because Elon Musk, a major doner, was advocating for them. If this is sustained, it is a troubling concession.

While I have never been blindly on the Trump bandwagon, I am a supporter, will vote for him, but I fear there are a lot of people who are irresponsibly naïve about this election.

A Word about Radical Feminism in Montana and Nationally:

What I am going to say here will be a huge challenge to women. Will you listen and consider this or will you just dismiss this?

First Montana! I am going to risk addressing an issue that I think goes to responsibility, integrity, and even patriotism. **The issue is women who vote for women no matter the party or person – an action I find contemptable, actually, demonically inspired if you have studied Feminism!**

We are already seeing that in the presidential polling now with Harris. [I have made the case](#) in some detail (p.3) that Republican woman in the Western Montana Congressional race in 2022 cast thousands of votes for a candidate **only because she was a woman** – she got 9K votes. Can I prove that? No but I can easily connect the dots. Zinke beat Olszewski by 2K votes and these **Republican Feminists** gave us a Montana Western District Congressman that is very compromised as you can see at the above LINK.

What about America? It is critical that people understand who Kamala Harris is, she perfectly fits the mold you will see below. In the Federalist article (08/07/24) [Hating Men is Now the Democratic Campaign Strategy](#), we see that **Harris knows who her voting base is and it is women of all strips**, particularly single women. Just a couple of relevant quotes

- *“Simply stated, it’s a fully intentional effort by the Harris campaign to make the 2024 electorate split about sex, not policy...”*
- [For starters, a February 2024 Pew Research Center study shows men are now more interested than women in starting families by a margin of 57 to 45 percent.”](#)

The last part makes it crystal clear that a **huge percentage of America's women have been evangelized by Radical Feminism** and are not even aware of it. They are unaware of who they are in terms of creation. Godly women are, by their created order, inclined to want children... until now.

The issue of Radical Feminism is something I address in 10 articles [on our website here](#). This issue is most clearly addressed by Dr. Del Tackett in his video [The Loss of the Nobel Male and the Rise of Malevolent Compassion](#) He will address this in [Billings Nov. 8-9th](#). Many of you have heard me say that I believe **this Marxist-inspired movement** is the most serious problem in America today and is prevalent in the population – Christian and non-Christian alike. If you have read anything about radical feminism, you know it is [joined at the hip with Marxism](#).

Truth be told, women generally lean more liberally than men, in part because of how they are created. **Men** were created to **be driven by Truth**, but tethered by compassion. **Women** were created to be **driven by compassion** and tethered by truth. These roles have been destroyed and totally reversed by a hundred years of radical Feminism as described by Carrie Gress in her excellent book [The End of Woman: How Smashing the Patriarchy Has Destroyed Us](#). Radical Feminists like Kamala have worked with the Marxist to completely reverse this model and now what we are left with is what Del Tackett calls **Malevolent Compassion** where feelings and emotion dictate everything.

Our Most Important Choice – the Montana Supreme Court - Supposedly Non-Partisan:

There are two open seats. Our MT supreme court is arguably the most LEFT leaning in America. Make no mistake, this will be a battle and the Democrats have already taken the gloves off. They know that there are issues they can't win by legislation so they work to control the courts. **The two liberals are obviously activists that have been recruited by the Progressives**. Corey Swanson and Dan Wilson are endorsed by ALL the conservatives that I trust. You can read about them on their web pages.

Cory Swanson for Chief Justice, Townsend, MT [swansonforjustice.com](#) **Cory is a solid conservative**. There has been a lot of noise about how Corey was FOR the Water Compact, even attacks. I have spoken to three prominent people who are DEAD SET against the water compact, one was DIRECTLY affected. Two questions

we considered. **What is Corey's current stance** and, more important, at this point **what influence would the Montana Supreme Court have?** All three agreed that Corey was clearly the best choice.

No other candidate has more Montana state courtroom experience than Cory Swanson. Cory joined the Montana National Guard in 1997 and was commissioned as a 2nd Lieutenant in 1998. He was deployed to the Middle East three times and currently holds the rank of Colonel. He is close to retirement from the Guards. He got his law degree at the University of Montana. In January 2013, Swanson was hired as a Deputy Attorney General in the Montana Department of Justice. Cory's challenger is...

Jeremiah (Jerry) Lynch, for Chief Justice. Lives in Missoula, Butte native.

lynchformontana.com Jeremiah (Jerry) Jerry served 13 years as a Federal Magistrate – **he has never been elected to a bench position**. At age 71 he is coming out of retirement (2019) to run. The question is **why?** Progressives can be very crafty at disguising motives, especially in the judiciary. You often have to **pick up bits and pieces** from different articles. One of the things, you begin to sense with this individual is **a motive of activism on issues**. As you are vetting candidates, look for **buzz words or phrases**, so let's look at a couple here:

- **He is very Pro-Abortion:** In the one-minute video on his webpage you hear. *He is a **Staunch advocate** of the Montana Constitution with its "**protection for the right to privacy**"* – this phrase has been used by our Supreme Court to support the right to abortion. (See [Armstrong v. State](#) issue discussion).
- **He is a Climate Change Activist:** The Montana Constitution guarantee of "**a clean and healthful environment**" – this phrase is currently being used by a group of kids from Missoula to sue the state for environmental pollutions and our Supreme Court has taken their side.
- **More Environmentalism:** *Embraces a **sustainable** future for the Big Sky State* – the word sustainable is almost always a **signal of environmentalism** and if you read his experience, you find this in his background.
- He speaks of a "**brilliant career in public service of Chief Justice McGrath.**" Actually, McGrath has the distinction of **presiding for 16 years over the most liberal state supreme court in America.**

Judge Dan Wilson - for Associate Supreme Court Judge, from Kalispell. Find him at wilsonforjustice.com **Dan is the clear choice for Associate Justice.** In a meeting with Judge Wilson, you encounter a measured, man who is committed to the rule of law. He does not cave to special interests. This is the kind of man we want on our highest court. **He, alone, took on Governor Bullocks Covid mandates and destroyed them.**

I am tired of hearing about how he is not energetic when he speaks, too quiet. Those who know him dismiss this as the B.S. it is. We are not looking for a cheerleader. **We are looking for a person who knows the law, is a clear thinker, and applies it well.**

In case you're wondering why Dan did not get the vote count in the Primary election that his opponent did, the explanation is this. There were three candidates for this office, two considered conservative. Jerry O'Neil was the other conservative-libertarian candidate who took about 20K votes. Reality is that, while Jerry appears to be a good man, he was not qualified to run for this office and never should have been on the ballot. You have to be recognized to be a lawyer by the State Supreme Court and have to have practiced for five years. O'Neil had done neither. The Republican Party failed to challenge this and so did the Commissioner of Political Practices – both should have. Little wonder why the Democrats didn't challenge this – it had potential to work in their favor. Jerry had worked on the Reservations, but not as a member of the Bar. You can argue that lawyers should not have to complete law school and could just take the Bar. Fine but change the law, don't just complain. If you're looking for an in depth explanation of this issue, [*The Jerry O'Neil Candidacy for the MT Supreme Court, The Montana Constitution and Probably Legal Outcome*](#) on our website.

Judge Katherine Bidegaray for Associate Court Judge. How do you spell LEFTIST? [Judge Katherine Bidegaray](#) from Sidney. **Reported to be endorsed by the Montana Trial Lawyers Association. Translation? Big\$.** Knowledgeable people have serious questions about this **lady's professional skills.** Every person I talked to labeled her as an **activist.** She met Dan when they both filed. He pledged to run an honest campaign, she agreed. Since then, she has accused him of accepting dark money and – in typical Democrat fashion – has **invented lies of every sort.** Those in eastern Montana cringe at the idea of this woman being on our high court.

But more concerning is [her statements](#) about the **current Supreme Court situation** this is what liberals are saying about her:

*“In the face of current **unprecedented challenges confronting the Montana Supreme Court**, including assaults on the rule of law that endanger the liberties and rights dear to Montanans, Judge Bidegaray grasps the magnitude of these issues. **She stands ready to confront these challenges head-on**, committed to defending the Constitution and delivering justice for everyone.*

If you are aware of the current LEFTISM in our Supreme Court and some of their shenanigans, you know this is dangerous language. They have been challenged for doing “polling on bills in the legislature” – a move that is clearly compromising and much more.

More Buzz words - she has also said she is *a visionary, an innovator*... poised not merely to follow, but **to lead**. This is activist language. We don't want this activist judge anywhere near the Montana Supreme Court.

On her webpage you read *“Alongside **her then-husband**, she raised five children on their family farm/ranch in northeastern Montana.”* What you don't see is that she **divorced that husband and is now allegedly living with another man.**

It is absolutely essential – above all else – that we get Cory Swanson and Judge Dan Wilson elected. I have been fortunate to have some excellent contacts to help me make these calls. We will likely have CI-128 on the ballot putting what will make abortion engrained in the Montana Constitution **for two reasons**. This liberal court that does Planned Parenthood's bidding and cowardly pastors who refuse to teach on abortion from the pulpit. **Two years ago, the voters of Yellowstone County cast 1100, votes for wildly liberal Ingrid Gustafson and defeated conservative James Brown. NEVER AGAIN!**

Montana Ballot Initiatives – from Evil to Deceptive to Helpful:

I recommend that you **VOTE NO on 128 and 125 and Yes on 127**. You can read **about the requirement at this [address](#)**. It is my understanding that these are all being challenged in the courts so stay tuned.

CI – I28 Vote NO – **WARNING!** All trusted advisors agree, if it is on the ballot, it **will pass** and it probably will be on the ballot.

- **The ONLY thing that could stop this colossal evil would be if Pastors across Montana would TEACH to persuade on abortion (one subject, 30 - 40 minutes – history, logic, scripture, reasonings, conclusions) from the pulpit on Sunday. I am asking you to make an appointment, and ask him to do that BEFORE the election. IF, he won't, you have a decision to make!**

This will create a constitutional right to abortion. It is very vague, there are no real bounds on who can approve this. It also opens the door to Transgender surgeries etc. Human traffickers could easily get a girl who was pregnant and abort. **This is the vilest thing I have seen in my life time.** I will link here to helpful information that you can easily download or print.

- [Why you should VOTE No on CI-128](#) (Most current flyer)
- [CI – 128 – Talking Points](#)
- [CI-128 – Six Things to Know](#)

CI 125 – Vote NO – Virtually every election would result in an expensive run-off.

Ballot Language: A CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION CI-126 amends the Montana Constitution to provide a top-four primary election. All candidates, regardless of political party, appear on one ballot. The four candidates receiving the most votes advance to the general election. A candidate may list a political party preference, but a candidate isn't required to be nominated by a political party. A candidate's political party preference isn't an endorsement by the political party. The legislature may require candidates gather signatures up to five percent of the votes received by the winning candidate in the last election to appear on the ballot. All voters may vote for one candidate for each covered office. CI-126 applies to elections for governor and lieutenant governor, secretary of state, auditor, attorney general, superintendent of public instruction, state representative, state senator, United States representative, and United States Senator.

CI – 127 VOTE YES – This could require a runoff election and be more expensive.

However, it would also stop the manipulation when small parties take a percentage of the vote and act as spoilers. For example, in the Eastern Montana Congressional race, there were 8 candidates. We have no assurance that the will of the majority prevailed. **Ballot Language:** A CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION CI-127 amends the Montana Constitution to provide that elections for certain offices must be decided **by majority vote** as determined as provided by law

rather than by a plurality or the largest amount of the votes. If it cannot be determined who received a majority of votes because two or more candidates are tied, then the winner of the election will be determined as provided by law. CI-127 applies to elections for governor and lieutenant governor, secretary of state, auditor, attorney general, superintendent of public instruction, state representative, state senator, United States representative, United States Senator, and other offices as provided by law.